

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/713,288	WU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew Tank	2175	

All Participants:

(1) Andrew Tank.

(2) Kayla Brant.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 22, 27, 41, 48, 55

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed Claim 22, specifically how the stretch distance is not defined and how claim 22 does not parallel the other independent claims. Discussed claims 1 and 22 and the lack of 'rectangular' to define the graphic object as having parallel top and bottom and left and right edges. Rectangular edges can be amended to claims 8, 27 and 55 in order to broaden the claims to not be limited to only rectangular objects. Applicant agrees to take Examiner's suggestion into account.

/William L. Bashore/
William L. Bashore
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 2100